horse		1		2	3	4	5	
	''	20.0	am	pm		am I	pm	mean
	2	39.9		38.4	43.8	41.4	65.6	45.8
	2	52.2		31.6	29	42.6	43.7	39.8
	4	54.8		45.1	74.9	49.8	51.2	55.16
	č	41.8	-	31.8	34.9	31.8	34.9	35.04
	6	43.8	:	28.9	53.2	47.7	37.5	42.77
	7	37.4		61 💐	64.7	61.1	57.6	56.36
	,	41.9	3	14.9	40.1	34.5	38.6	38
	0	38.6	. 3	6.4	40.3	24.9	35.6	35 16
	10	48.9	4	2.2	82.7	45.7	45.1	52.92
	11	68.8	3	6.8	68	51.7	53.7	55.8
	12	34.9	3	6.7	55,7	46.9	35	41.84
	12	26.1	4	2. 2	47.1	25.1	41.7	36.44
	15	53.6	4	3.9	53	45	49.5	49
	14	53.9	39	5.7	59.9	47.6	42.7	47.96
	12	55	49	9.4	34.3	51.6	51.3	48 32
	10	50.1	35	9.1	47.3	38.3	45	43.96
parametric	calculat	ion						
UCL 95		63.89						
UCL 99	71.85			4sd UCL 99,95		90.06 ng/mL		
						83.54 ng/mL		
mean	45.23875			UCL 99.99		88.96 ng/mL		
Geomean	3.7	74917		000	9.999	96.10 ng/	mL	
t								
t-value (95)		1.664 t-value (99.9			3.418			
t-value (99)		2.374 t-v	alue (99.99)		3.901			
		t-va	ilue (99.999)		4.538			
log normal ca	alculatio	ns						
UCL 95		65 35 na/	mi					

450	115.34 ng/mL
UCL 99.95	100.12 ng/mL
UCL 99.999	112.60 ng/mL
UCL 99.999	131.48 ng/mL

Discussion

UCI 99

77.67 ng/mL

Samples were collected from a number of horses to assess variability in endogenous GABA concentrations between breeds and different age groups as well as within a single horse at different times. Based on findings from the current study, there appears to be a great deal of variability in GABA plasma concentrations between horses, but no trends with respect to breed or age could be established. For assessment of variability in GABA concentrations within an individual horse, samples were collected from the same horses at five different points in time over the course of 2-weeks. While this method of assessment does not lend itself to statistical analysis of concentrations from individual horses at the different collection times, there are obvious disparities in concentrations between samples collected on different occasions from the same animal that is beyond analysis variability. This suggests that determining a normal threshold concentration may require incorporation of a safety factor to account for this variability. Interestingly, however, the variability noted at the different sampling times does not appear to be related to the time of day at which the samples were collected (am or pm).

Anova: Single Factor

Count	Sum	Augener	
48	184 3333	3 840270	Variance
32	118 2804	3.696262	0.058638
	Count 48	Count Sum 48 184.3333 32 118 2804	Count Sum Average 48 184.3333 3.840278 32 118.2604 2.000000

ANOVA						A	NO	UA.
Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	1.				
Between Groups	0.398218	1	0.398218	7.763674	P-value	F crit	A	
Within Groups	4.276242	78	0.054824	7.203024	0.008615	3.963472		
Total	4.67446	79						

Using data taken from "Endogenous concentrations, pharmacokinetics, and selected pharmacokinetics effects of a single dose of exogenous GABA in horses" by H.K. Knych (2014)

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses using commercially available software (SAS, Cary, NC, USA) were performed to assess significant differences in physiologic variables for individual horses following intravenous and oral GABA administration. Raw data for all variables were checked for normality using the Wilk-Shapiro test and then log-transformed or Winsorized as necessary to bring the residual distribution in close agreement with a normal distribution. Data for all variables were subsequently analyzed using a mixed model ANOVA with repeated measures. Significance was set at P < 0.05.